Virtual Fluids – Real Insights



Computer Aided Product Development Modeling, Simulation and Rendering in the Industry

Markus Ihmsen www.fifty2.eu / ihmsen@fifty2.eu

Follow us on



// Product development - an iterative process









// Problem



- Without simulation:
 - physical prototype required
 - complicated, expensive and unflexible tests
- Simulation tools:
 - require specialized knowledge by experts in the relevant simulation field
 - are slow
 - -> complicated and time-consuming workflows

// Traditional simulation methods

Workflow wading simulation (grid-based):

3 weeks meshing 4 weeks 1 week data simulation (manual work) analysis

// Traditional simulation methods

Workflow wading simulation (grid-based):







// Purpose of FIFTY2



- Enable engineers and designers to craft the best version of their product together
 - Non simulation experts can describe and simulate the problem
 - Easy workflow and fast simulation
 - Results can be monitored and understood by engineers, designers, and decision makers at any time

// Promise

- We build tools that:
 - Reduce time from question to insight (Q2I)
 - Maximize insights: quality and quantity of information
 - Are enjoyable and easy to use













Workflow wading simulation (PreonLab):

Questions

Days 1 day simulation





// Watermanagement









Wading

Rain

Corrosion

// Raining







// Raining

Rapid prototyping:

- Shorter cycles for design testing
- Allows progressive designs
- Duct 2 is a failure
- Continue with duct 1





// Oil







Gear box

Crank Case

Sloshing



// Simulation der Ölverteilung





Simulationsergebnisse wurden von Versuchen bestätigt.

// E-machine cooling - Thermodynamics





Particles carry physical quantities, e.g., temperature

// Markets











// Hydraulic engineering





End customer:

- Governments
- Assurance companies
- City administrations
- Construction companies



"The future in hydraulic engineering belongs to 3D simulations. Due to its speed and usability, PreonLab has highest chances to be the standard solution in this market." (S. Corbe, CEO, TK Consult AG)



Technical and theoretical background

// PreonLab experience



// PreonLab ingredients



- Physics
- Rendering
- Data structures
- Numerics
- Parallelization
- Data management
- GUI
- Testing
- Software design

// Fluid simulation





For any point **x** in fluid volume and time t compute position **x**(t). Same for any physical quantity A(**x**,t)

Requires:

- velocity **v** at any point
- velocity change **dv**

// CFD Solver – Navier-Stokes Equation



602 billion trillion molecules per 18ml 250 916 670 000 000 000 terabytes



// Fluid simulation







Discretize volume and time

40 megabytes

// Spatial Discretization



• Euler

- Grid cells represent partial volumes
- \rightarrow Complete domain has to be discretized
- → Spatial derivatives efficiently computed
- \rightarrow Advection expensive to compute



- Lagrange
 - Particles represent partial volumes
 - \rightarrow Only liquid phase has to be discretized
 - ightarrow Advection efficiently computed
 - ightarrow Spatial derivatives expensive to compute



// Spatial Discretization





- Euler
 - Grid cells represent partial volumes
 → Align fluid cells with CAD model



Pure point-based boundary handling

- Lagrange
 - Particles represent partial volumes
 - → Fluid can move arbitrarily and aligns naturally

// Numerical building blocks of a CFD Solver





// Implicit formulation – Discretizing time



2. Implicit computation of the pressure field

$$\nabla^2 p_i = \frac{\rho_{i,0} - \rho_i^*}{\Delta t^2} \qquad \rho_i^* = \rho_i - \Delta t \rho_{i,0} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_i^*)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{i,t+\Delta t} = \mathbf{v}_i^* - \Delta t \frac{\nabla p_i}{\rho_{i,0}}$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{i,t+\Delta t} = \mathbf{x}_i + \Delta t \mathbf{v}_{i,t+\Delta t}$$

// Kernel weighting – Discretizing space

- Value interpolation at position x
 Over finite local support domain
- Weighting is color coded
- Rieman sum

$$A(\mathbf{x}) = \int A(\mathbf{x}')\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')d\mathbf{x}'$$



$$\langle A(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \int_{\Omega} A(\mathbf{x}') W(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', h) d\mathbf{x}' \longrightarrow \langle A(\mathbf{x}_i) \rangle = \sum_j V_j A(\mathbf{x}_j) W(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j, h)$$

// Simulation loop

- 1. Find neighbors
- 2. Compute explicit forces
- 3. Solve for implicit forces
- 4. Update velocities, positions and other physical quantities of partial volume (particles)





// Performance - Neighbor search

- Typically 30 to 40 particles in influence domain
- 1 million particles: 20ms
- Reduce number of queries
 - Compact hashing
 - Z-index sorting
 - kd-trees



// Performance – System of equations

- Pressure term
 - Enforce volume conservation of fluid
 - Solve for unknown pressure field
 - Pressure gradient results in forces
- Viscosity term
 - Minimize strain-rate tensor
 - Changes the velocity gradient

 $\mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T)$



// Performance – System of equations

- Simulation with 100 million particles
- Linear system of size 100M x 100M
 - Conjugate gradient
 - Relaxed Jacobi
 - Gauss-Seidel
- System is sparsely filled
 - Matrix-free and compact implementation







• Expectable speed-up obeys Amdahl's law



- Expectable speed-up obeys Amdahl's law
- Reduce latencies
 - SIMD: Optimized data structures



- Expectable speed-up obeys Amdahl's law
- Reduce latencies
 - Single Instruction Multiple Data
 - SMP: Increase cache coherency







- Expectable speed-up obeys Amdahl's law
- Reduce latencies
 - SMP: Increase cache coherency
 - SIMD: Optimized data structures
 - MMP: Load balancing



// Performance – Hybrid parallel implementation



73500 liter 157 Million particles 40 sec





// Rendering





Simulation:

• Discrete representation



Visualization:

- Continuous volume
- Simulate absorption, reflection and transmission of light

// Classical approaches

- Create triangle mesh from particles
- Isosurface generation via
 - Marching cubes
 - Dual contouring
 - Adaptive dual marching cubes
- Mesh files can be used
 - By any raytracing program
- But
 - Time-consuming to construct
 - Consume a lot of memory



// Preon renderer

- Renders fluid as smooth surface without generating an explicit mesh
- CPU-based ray tracer which works on systems without graphic cards / cluster
- Fast generation by using neighbor search data structure
- Implicit foam rendering
- Output is image per frame





// Preon renderer

- Physically-based stochastic raytracer
- Photon mapping
- Fluid properties can be colorized on virtual surface and sensors can be visualized







What's next?

// Product development





Include more physics:

- Improve quality
- New applications

Optimizations:

- Performance
- Workflow

// Particle-based rigid body solver







// Highly viscous fluids



