Simulation in Computer Graphics Stability of Mass-Point Systems

Matthias Teschner

Computer Science Department University of Freiburg

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg

FREIBURG

Demos



surface tension vs. volume preservation

distance preservation vs. volume preservation

UNI FREIBURG

Outline

- motivation
- numerical integration as a transformation
- eigenanalysis
- examples
- discussion

Motivation

- one-dimensional spring with resting length zero
- one end point is fixed at x = 0
- for the second point, m = 1 and $F_t = -kx_t$
- explicit Euler integration



• ()

Motivation

Euler-Cromer x_t^2 $x_{t+h} = x_t + hv_{t+h}$ х $= v_t - hkx_t$ v_{t+h} \overline{t} 600 800 400 200 t k = 10, h = 0.01 x_t explicit Euler х (with damping) $= x_t + hv_t$ x_{t+h} 600 800 1000 $v_{t+h} = v_t - hkx_t - h\gamma v_t$ $k = 10, h = 0.01, \gamma = 1$

Outline

- motivation
- numerical integration as a transformation
- eigenanalysis
- examples
- discussion

State Transformation

explicit Euler integration

$$x_{t+h} = x_t + hv_t \quad v_{t+h} = v_t - hkx_t$$

- state vector $\mathbf{u}_t = \left(\begin{array}{cc} x_t & v_t \end{array} \right)^{\mathrm{T}}$
- integration can be seen as a transformation applied to \mathbf{u}_t
- explicit Euler (without damping)

$$\mathbf{u}_{t+h} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ -hk & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_t$$

explicit Euler (with damping)

$$\mathbf{u}_{t+h} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ -hk & 1-h\gamma \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_t$$

Approximation Error

- arbitrary integration schemes transform a state u of a system from time t to time t + h ut+h = Aut
- successive application of A results in a sequence
 $\mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{u}_{t+h}, \mathbf{u}_{t+2h}, \ldots$
- this sequence approximates the correct solution $\mathbf{u}_t + \epsilon_t, \mathbf{u}_{t+h} + \epsilon_{t+h}, \mathbf{u}_{t+2h} + \epsilon_{t+2h}, \dots$
- ϵ_i are errors introduced by the integration scheme

Amplification Matrix

- we have $\mathbf{u}_{t+h} + \epsilon_{t+h} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u}_t + \epsilon_t)$
- if A is linear $\mathbf{u}_{t+h} + \epsilon_{t+h} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{A}\epsilon_t$ $\epsilon_{t+h} = \mathbf{G}\epsilon_t = \mathbf{A}\epsilon_t$
- if A is non-linear

$$\mathbf{u}_{t+h} + \epsilon_{t+h} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u}_t + \epsilon_t) \approx \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_t + \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_t}{\partial \mathbf{u}_t} \epsilon_t$$
$$\epsilon_{t+h} = \mathbf{G}\epsilon_t \approx \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_t}{\partial \mathbf{u}_t} \epsilon_t$$

BURG

• G is the amplification matrix



- if the repeated multiplication of G with any previously introduced approximation error \(\epsilon_i\) is diverging, the integration scheme is unstable
- remember:
 - a FDE is stable, if previously introduced errors do not grow within a simulation step
- approximation errors are introduced in each integration step, however, if previously introduced errors are not amplified by the integration scheme, then it is stable

Outline

- motivation
- numerical integration as a transformation
- eigenanalysis
- examples
- discussion

Eigenanalysis

- an eigenvector v of a matrix G is a nonzero vector that is scaled by a scalar λ when G is applied to it Gv = λv
- λ is an eigenvalue of **G**
- if v is an eigenvector, αv is also an eigenvector $G(\alpha v) = \alpha Gv = \lambda \alpha v$

Shrinking Eigenvectors

- matrix **G** scales the eigenvector **v** with eigenvalue λ
- if $|\lambda| < 1$ then $\mathbf{G}^i \mathbf{v} = \lambda^i \mathbf{v}$ vanishes for *i* approaching infinity
- repeated application of ${\bf G}$ to ${\bf v}$ shrinks ${\bf v}$
- example with $\lambda = -0.5$



Growing Eigenvectors

- if $|\lambda| > 1$ then $\mathbf{G}^i \mathbf{v} = \lambda^i \mathbf{v}$
 - grows to infinity for *i* approaching infinity
- repeated application of ${\bf G}$ to ${\bf v}$ enlarges ${\bf v}$
- example with $\lambda = 2$



General Case

- if the magnitude of all eigenvalues is smaller one, all eigenvectors vanish when G is repeatedly applied
- all vectors that are linear combinations of eigenvectors behave like eigenvectors
- if there exist n linearly independent eigenvectors of **G**, then all vectors are linear combinations of these eigenvectors $\epsilon = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \ldots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n$

General Case

• if G is applied to any vector

$$\mathbf{G}\epsilon = \alpha_1 \mathbf{G} \mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{G} \mathbf{v}_2 + \ldots + \alpha_n \mathbf{G} \mathbf{v}_n$$

$$\mathbf{G}\epsilon = \alpha_1\lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_2\lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \ldots + \alpha_n\lambda_n\mathbf{v}_n$$

- then $\mathbf{G}^i \epsilon$ is vanishing if all eigenvalues λ_i are smaller one
- if at least one eigenvalue is larger than one, $\mathbf{G}^i \epsilon$ diverges to infinity

Spectral Radius / Stability

- spectral radius ρ of a matrix **G** $\rho(\mathbf{G}) = \max |\lambda_i|$
- λ_i is an eigenvalue of **G**
- if the spectral radius of the amplification matrix of an integration scheme is smaller one, the scheme is stable (Gⁱe is vanishing, previous errors are diminished)
- if the spectral radius is larger one, the scheme is unstable
 - ($\mathbf{G}^i \epsilon$ is diverging, previous errors are amplified)

BURG

Outline

- motivation
- numerical integration as a transformation
- eigenanalysis
- examples
- discussion

Example – Explicit Euler

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_{t+h} &=& x_t + hv_t \\ v_{t+h} &=& v_t - hkx_t \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} x_{t+h} \\ v_{t+h} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ -hk & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix}$$

- solving $0 = \det(\mathbf{G} \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \det\begin{pmatrix} 1 \lambda & h \\ -hk & 1 \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ to compute the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2} = 1 \pm h\sqrt{-k} = 1 \pm h\sqrt{ki}$
- the spectral radius is computed as $|\lambda_{1,2}| = \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1,2})^2 + \operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{1,2})^2} = \sqrt{1 + h^2 k} > 1$
- unconditionally unstable for undamped springs

Euler-Cromer / Explicit Euler



Euler-Cromer / Explicit Euler

 x_t^2 **Euler-Cromer** with damping $\rho \approx 0.9955$ 800 _ $k = 100, h = 0.01, \gamma = 1$ x_t explicit Euler with damping t $\rho = 1$ $k = 100, h = 0.01, \gamma = 1$

Euler-Cromer / Explicit Euler

Euler-Cromer with damping $\rho \approx 0.9955$





Euler-Cromer

• Euler-Cromer with damping $\rho \approx 1.1465$



 $k = 10^5, h = 0.01, \gamma = 1$

Euler-Cromer / Implicit Euler

• Euler-Cromer with damping $\rho \approx 0.9955$

• implicit Euler with damping $\rho \approx 0.949$



Implicit Euler without Damping

• implicit Euler without damping $\rho \approx 0.302$

• implicit Euler without damping $\rho \approx 0.01$



Implicit Euler without Damping

amplification matrix

$$\mathbf{G} = \frac{1}{1+h^2k} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & h \\ -hk & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{1+h^2k} \pm \frac{h\sqrt{k}}{1+h^2k}i$$

spectral radius

$$\rho = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+h^2k}} < 1$$

unconditionally stable for undamped springs

Outline

- motivation
- numerical integration as a transformation
- eigenanalysis
- examples
- discussion

Discussion

- the eigenvalues depend on all parameters of a system,
 e. g. masses, deformation forces, damping forces,
 and the time step
- if a system is unconditionally stable / unstable, the stability is independent from the parameters
- if a system is conditionally stable, the spectral radius is smaller than one for certain parameter sets and one is interested in the maximum time step for a given parameter set
- for systems consisting of n mass points, $6n \times 6n$ matrices have to be analyzed

Discussion

- if an integration scheme is represented by a nonlinear transformation, the stability analysis is only approximate
- if any linearization is employed to derive the amplification matrix, the stability analysis is only approximate
- 3D mass-spring systems are non-linear

References

Franz J. Vesely,

"Computational Physics – An Introduction", Kluwer Academic, New York, ISBN 0-306-46631-7, 2001.

Jonathan Richard Shewchuk, "An Introduction to the Conjugate Gradient Method Without the Agonizing Pain", August 1994.



1D mass points x₀, x₁ with masses m₀, m₁
 connected with a spring of rest length L and stiffness k



- spring force $F_0^t = -F_1^t = k(x_1^t x_0^t L)$
- Euler-Cromer integration



University of Freiburg – Computer Science Department – Computer Graphics - 31

BURG

Example

• relative velocity $r^t = v_1^t - v_0^t$

$$r^{t+h} = v_1^t - h \frac{F_0^t}{m_1} - v_0^t - h \frac{F_0^t}{m_0}$$
$$r^{t+h} = r^t - h F_0^t \left(\frac{1}{m_0} + \frac{1}{m_1}\right) = r^t - h F_0^t m$$

distance $d^t = x_1^t - x_0^t$ $d^{t+h} = x_1^t + hv_1^{t+h} - x_0^t - hv_0^{t+h} = d^t + hr^{t+h}$ $d^{t+h} = d^t + h(r^t - hF_0^tm)$

University of Freiburg – Computer Science Department – Computer Graphics - 32

UNI FREIBURG



$$F_0^t = k(x_1^t - x_0^t - L) = k(d^t - L)$$

• transformation of r^t and d^t

$$\begin{aligned} r^{t+h} &= r^t - hF_0^t m = r^t - hmk(d^t - L) = r^t - hmkd^t + hmkL \\ d^{t+h} &= d^t + h\left(r^t - hmk(d^t - L)\right) = hr^t + (1 - h^2mk)d^t + h^2mkL \end{aligned}$$

amplification matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}r^{t+h}\\d^{t+h}\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}1&-hmk\\h&1-h^2mk\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}r^t\\d^t\end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c}hmkL\\h^2mkL\end{array}\right)$$

UNI FREIBURG

conditionally stable