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Motivation

– Detection of interpenetrating objects
– Object representations in simulation environments do not consider impenetrability
– Aspects
  – Polygonal, non-polygonal surface
  – Convex, non-convex
  – Defined volume (closed surface), undefined volume
  – Rigid, deformable
Motivation

- More aspects
  - Pair-wise tests, multiple objects
  - First contact, all contacts
  - Intersection, penetration depth, proximity
  - Static, dynamic
  - Discrete simulation time, continuous time
Example

- Collision detection is an essential part of physically realistic dynamic simulations
- In each time step
  - Detect collisions
  - Resolve collisions
  - Compute dynamics
Applications

- Surgery planning
  - Dysfunction of the hip joint due to reoriented femoral head
  - Simulation of range of motion using triangulated surface representations
  - Craniofacial surgery planning
  - Planning of osteotomies and bone realignment
Applications

- Robotics
  - Task planning for multiple robots in a virtual environment
  - Continuous time to avoid collisions with small structures
  - Time-critical in case of a break-down
  - Investigated in the context of product assembly since 1979
Applications

- Protein folding
  - Design of drug molecules
  - Computation of low-energy states considering geometric constraints

[Stanford]
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Motivation

– Collision detection for polygonal models is in $O(n^2)$
– Simple bounding volumes – encapsulating geometrically complex objects – can accelerate the detection of collisions

No overlapping bounding volumes → No collision

Overlapping bounding volumes → Objects could interfere
Motivation

- Efficient detection of collision-free state without checking all object primitives
- Overhead for overlapping bounding volumes
  - However, only few bounding volumes overlap in case of multiple objects motivated by spatial coherence
- For some applications, collision information on the bounding volumes might be sufficient
  - Approximate collision detection
  - Accuracy depends on the tight fitting of the bounding volume
Examples and Characteristics

- Desired characteristics
  - Efficient intersection test, memory efficient
  - Efficient generation and update in case of transformations
  - Tight fitting
Sphere

- Spheres are represented by
  - The center position $c$
  - The radius $r$
- Two spheres do not overlap if
  $$(c_1 - c_2)(c_1 - c_2) > (r_1 + r_2)^2$$
Axis-Aligned Bounding Box AABB

- AABBs are represented by
  - The center positions $c$
  - The radii $r_x, r_y$
- Two AABBs in 2D do not overlap if

$$\left| (c_1 - c_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right| > r_1^x + r_2^x$$

$$\left| (c_1 - c_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right| > r_1^y + r_2^y$$
Discrete-Orientation Polytope $k$-DOP

- Convex polytope whose faces are determined by a fixed set of normals
- $k$-DOPs are represented by
  - $k / 2$ normals
  - $k / 2$ min-max intervals
- If any pair of intervals does not overlap, $k$-DOPs do not overlap

$\exists$ direction : $\text{max}_1 < \text{min}_2 \lor \text{min}_1 < \text{max}_2$
Discrete-Orientation Polytope $k$-DOP

- Also known as fixed-direction hulls FDHs
- AABB is a 4-DOP. Are all 4-DOPs AABBs?
- All $k$-DOPs share the same pre-defined normal set
- Only min-max intervals are stored per $k$-DOP
- Larger $k$ improves the approximation quality
- Intersection test is more expensive for larger $k$
Oriented Bounding Box OBB

– Similar to AABB, but with flexible orientations
– OBBs have not to be aligned with respect to each other or to a coordinate system
– In contrast to AABBs and $k$-DOPs,
  – OBBs can be rotated with an object
  – OBBs are more expensive to check for overlap
**OBB Overlap Test in 2D**

- $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$ are normalized axes of A and B
- $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$ are radii of A and B
- $L$ is a normalized direction
- $T$ is the distance of centers of A and B
- $p_A = a_1 A_1 L + a_2 A_2 L$
- $p_B = b_1 B_1 L + b_2 B_2 L$
- A and B do not overlap in 2D if $\exists L : T \cdot L > p_A + p_B$
Separating Axis Test

– Motivation
  – Two objects $A$ and $B$ are disjoint if for some vector $\mathbf{v}$ the projections of the objects onto $\mathbf{v}$ do not overlap. In this case, $\mathbf{v}$ is a separating axis.
  – If $A$ and $B$ are convex, the separating axis exists if and only if $A$ and $B$ do not overlap.
  – For concave objects, a separating axis does not necessarily exist, if both objects are disjoint.
Separating Axis Test

- For polyhedral objects, only a few axes have to be tested
  - Axes parallel to face normals of A
  - Axes parallel to face normals of B
  - Axes parallel to all cross products of edges of A and B
- In case of 3D OBBs, $3 + 3 + 3 \cdot 3$ axes have to be tested
- Efficient and general overlap test
- Does not provide information on the intersection geometry
**OBB Overlapping Test in 3D**

- \( \mathbf{B} = (b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3) \) orientation of \( \mathbf{B} \) relative to \( \mathbf{A} \)'s basis \( \mathbf{I} \)
- \( \mathbf{c} \) is the center of \( \mathbf{B} \) relative to \( \mathbf{A} \)'s coordinate system
- \( \mathbf{h}_A, \mathbf{h}_B \) are the extents of \( \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \)
- \( \mathbf{v} \) is relative to \( \mathbf{A} \)'s basis, \( \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{v} \) is the same vector relative to \( \mathbf{B} \)
- \( \mathbf{v} \) is a separating axis if
  \[
  \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{c} > \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{h}_A + (\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{h}_B
  \]
OBB Overlapping Test in 3D

- $v \cdot c > v \cdot h_A + (B^T v) \cdot h_B$
- 15 axes $v$ have to be tested
  - 3 coordinate axes of A's orientation $I$
  - 3 coordinate axes of B's orientation $B = (b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3) = (\beta_{ij})$
  - 9 cross products of coordinate axes of both orientations
- Computations of $B^T v$ can be simplified, e.g.
  $v = (e_1 \times b_2) = (0, -\beta_{32}, -\beta_{22})^T$
  $B^T v = B^T (e_1 \times b_2) = (-\beta_{13}, 0, \beta_{11})^T$
Optimal Bounding Volume

– It depends ...

Tight approximation

Efficient overlap test

Sphere

Axis-aligned bounding box

Oriented bounding box

Discrete-orientation polytope

Convex hull
Summary - Bounding Volumes

- Simple geometries that encapsulate complex objects
- Efficient overlap rejection test
- Tight object approximation, memory efficient, fast overlap test
- Spheres, AABBs, OBBs, k-DOPs, convex hulls
- Further BVs, e.g. swept sphere volumes SSVs
  - Point-swept sphere PSS
  - Line-swept sphere LSS
  - Rectangle-swept sphere RSS
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Bounding Volume Hierarchies

- Efficient overlap rejection test for parts of an objects
- E.g., object can be subdivided to build a BVH

Layer 1 → Layer 2 → Layer 3
Data Structure

- Tree of bounding volumes
- Nodes contain BV information
- Leaf nodes contain object primitives
Example – Sphere Tree
Example – OBB Tree

Object

OBB
Layer 1

OBBs
Layer $n$
Overlap Test for BV Trees

- If BVs in a layer overlap, their children are checked
- At a leaf, primitives are tested with BVs and primitives
- Efficient culling of irrelevant object parts
Pseudo Code

1. Oooverlap test for two parent nodes (root)
2. If no overlap then "no collision" else
3. All children of one parent node are checked against children of the other parent node
4. If no overlap then "no collision" else
5. If at leaf nodes then "collision" else go to 3.
   – Step 3. checks BVs or object primitives for intersection
   – Required tests: BV-BV, (BV–primitive), primitive-primitive
Implementation - OBB tree, triangulated object

- All tests based on separating axis test
- Box-box
  - $3 + 3 + 3 \cdot 3$ axes have to be tested
- (Box-triangle) – not necessarily implemented
  - $3 + 1$ (face normals) + $3 \cdot 3$ (cross products of edges) tests
- Triangle-triangle
  - a) $1 + 1$ (face normals) + $3 \cdot 3$ (cross products of edges) tests
  - b) Testing all edge-edge pairs $\rightarrow$ 6 edge-triangle tests $\rightarrow$ 5 tests suffice (intersections occur in pairs)
**Edge-Triangle Test**

\[ x = p_0 + \mu_1(p_1 - p_0) + \mu_2(p_2 - p_0) \]
\[ \mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0 \quad \mu_1 + \mu_2 \leq 1 \]
\[ x = s + \lambda(t - s) \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \]
\[ r = t - s \quad d_1 = p_1 - p_0 \]
\[ d_2 = p_2 - p_0 \quad b = s - p_0 \]
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda \\
\mu_1 \\
\mu_2
\end{pmatrix}
= \frac{1}{-r \cdot (d_1 \times d_2)}
\begin{pmatrix}
b \cdot (d_1 \times d_2) \\
d_2 \cdot (b \times r) \\
-d_1 \cdot (b \times r)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

- The edge intersects if
\[ \mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0 \quad \mu_1 + \mu_2 \leq 1 \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \]
\[ -r \cdot (d_1 \times d_2) \neq 0 \]
Summary - BVHs

(1) Bounding volumes

(2) Bounding volume tree

(3) Collision detection test
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Sphere

- Start with AABB of all points
  - The center of the sphere is given by the center of the AABB
  - The radius of the sphere is given by the largest distance from the center to a point
- Iteratively improve an initial guess (Ritter 1990)
  - Six extremal points of an AABB are computed
  - Choose pair of points with largest distance to get the center of the sphere and an initial guess of the radius
  - Iteratively enlarge the radius for points outside the sphere
- Minimum bounding sphere (Welzl 1991)
  - Randomized algorithm that runs in expected linear time
- Spheres can be translated and rotated with objects
AABB

- Compute six extremal points for the center and the radii
- AABBs can be translated with an object
- AABBs cannot be rotated with an object (the overlap test does not work for arbitrarily oriented AABBs)
- Rotation issue is addressed by
  - Computing the AABB for the bounding sphere
  - Update the AABB only considering the new positions of the original extremal points
  - Hill climbing on convex objects or pre-computed convex hulls of concave objects (check adjacent points of the original extremal points to update extremal points)
OBB

- Directions given by eigenvectors of the covariance matrix (PCA) (Barequet 1996)
  \[ C_{jk} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)_j (x_i - \mu)_k \quad \mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \]

- Compute an AABB (Barequet 1999)
  - Choose two extremal points with the largest distance opposite to each other to define the first direction for the OBB
  - Choose two orthogonal directions

- Can be translated and rotated with an object
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Construction of BVHs

- Goals
  - Balanced tree
  - Tight-fitting bounding volumes
  - Minimal redundancy
    (primitives in more than one BV per level)
- Parameters
  - BV type
  - Top-down / bottom-up
  - What and how to subdivide or merge: primitives or BVs
  - How many primitives per leaf in the BV tree
  - Re-sampling of the object
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Collision Detection Libraries

**SOLID**
- Axis-aligned bounding box
- van den Bergen
- Eindhoven University
- 1997

**RAPID**
- Object-oriented bounding box
- Gottschalk et al.
- University of North Carolina
- 1995

**QuickCD**
- $k$ discrete orientation polytope
- Klosowski et al.
- University of New York
- 1998
Comparison

- Two spheres with radius 1 and 10000 triangles per sphere

Distance between sphere centers

Computation time

University of Freiburg – Computer Science Department – 43
Close Proximity

– In case of close proximity,
  – Quality of higher layers influences the collision detection performance
  – Quality of lower layers BV approximations is less critical
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Deformable Objects

- BVH has to be updated in each time step
- Hierarchy generation significantly influences the performance
- AABBs are commonly used
- AABBs can be updated efficiently compared to OBBs or spheres
- AABBs do not optimally approximate an object
Adaptive Hierarchy Update

- AABB hierarchy
- Initial hierarchy generation
- During run-time
  - Adaptive update
  - Bottom-up update starting at layer $n/2$
  - Object is traversed once to update layer $n/2$
  - Efficient AABB update by merging AABBs of children
- Update of nodes in layer $n/2+1$ to $n$, only if required

Larsson / Akenine-Moeller
Eurographics 2001
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